31st October 1958]

(இ) பாலத்தின் மதிப்பீடு என்ன ?

(ஈ) இரண்டாவது ஐந்தாண்டுத் திட்டத்தில் இதற்கென ஒதுக்கப் பட்டத் தொகை எவ்வளவு ?

(உ) இதுவரை வேலை துவக்காத காரணம் என்ன ?

(ஊ) எப்பொழுது வேலை துவக்கப்படும் ?

THE HON. SRI P. KAKKAN : (*a*) Yes, Sir.

(*b*) A causeway.

(*c*) Rs. 37·5 lakhs for a bridge; but the causeway now proposed will cost Rs. 14 lakhs only.

(*d*) The work of constructing a bridge at the site has been included in the Second Five-Year Plan with a provision of Rs. 30 lakhs.

(*e*) & (*f*) The Government of India, who were addressed for taking up the work with grant from Central Road Fund Reserve have not agreed to give a grant for this work. Therefore the work can be taken up only in its due turn, subject to the availability of funds.

Separation of Audit from the Administration in the Co-operative Department

* 752 *Q.*—SRI M. P. SARATHI : கனம் மின்சார அமைச்சர் அவர்கள் தயவுசெய்து பதிலளிப்பாரா—

(அ) கூட்டுறவு இலாகாவில் நிர்வாகமும் தணிக்கையும் தனியாகப் பிரிக்கப்பட்டு செயலாற்றப்படுகிறதா ?

(ஆ) எல்லாவகைச் சங்கங்களும் தணிக்கை செய்யப்படுவது தணிக் கைப் பிரிவினுல் மட்டுமேதாஞ ?

(இ) இல்லையென்றால், அது எப்பொழுது சாத்தியமாகும் ?

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH : (*a*) The Government recently decided that audit should be separated from administration in the Co-operative Department. They have appointed a Chief Audit Officer as the head of the Audit Branch and have sanctioned necessary staff at headquarters and at district level for attending to audit work exclusively. Preliminaries like the selection of officers, location of offices, separation of staff, etc., are being attended to.

(*b*) & (*c*) The audit of the following types of co-operative societies which are under the administrative control of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies will be undertaken by the Audit Branch of the Co-operative Department.

(1) Co-operative Societies under the administrative control of the Co-operative Department;

(2) Fisherman Co-operative Societies under the administrative control of the Fisheries Department; and

(3) Kallar Co-operatives in the Madurai district under the control of the Harijan Welfare Department.

[31st October 1958

The question of extending the control of Chief Audit Officer over the audit of industrial co-operative societies, workshop and jaggery manufacturing co-operative societies, which are in charge of the Director of Industries and Commerce, is under the consideration of the Government.

SRI M. P. SARATHI : தணிக்கையின் மூலமாக இந்த அரசாங்கம் வசூல் செய்யும் ஆடிட் பீஸ் எவ்வளவு என்று சுமாராகச் சொல்லமுடியுமா ?

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH : தனிக் கேள்வி போடவேண்டும்.

SRI V. K. RAMASWAMY MUDALIAR : May I know whether this audit will be under the control of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, and if not, is there any proposal to transfer it to the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts?

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH : It is under the over-all control of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies.

SRI M. K. MARIAPPAN : தணிக்கை செய்வதற்கு போதிய அதிகாரிகள் இல்லாத காரணத்தால் தணிக்கை செய்வதில் தாமதம் ஏற்படுகிறது என்பதை சர்க்கார் அறியுமா ?

THE HON. SRI V. RAMAIAH : அதற்கு வேண்டிய அதிகாரிகளையும் ஊழியர்களையும் நியமனம் செய்வதற்கு அரசாங்கம் நடவடிக்கை எடுத்துக் கொண்டு வருகிறது.

Public Prosecutor, Tiruchirappalli

* 753 *Q.*—SRI M. KALYANASUNDARAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Home be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government are aware that the Public Prosecutor, Tiruchirappalli, was attacked by some miscreant on 26th January 1958, causing serious injuries and resulting in permanent loss of earning capacity;

(*b*) the cause of this attack;

(*c*) whether the Public Prosecutor has applied to the Government for adequate compensation; and

(*d*) what is the action taken by the Government?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : (*a*) On 27th January 1958, the Government received information that on the night of 26th January 1958 two persons had attacked the Public Prosecutor, Tiruchirappalli, and that he had sustained some injuries. The Government understand that the Public Prosecutor has not suffered any loss in his earning capacity on account of the injuries.

(*b*) During the trial of the two persons in question who were either members of the Dravida Kazhagam or its sympathisers, it was canvassed by the prosecution that the motive of the accused in committing the offence was that the Public Prosecutor had conducted the prosecution of a case against Sri E. V. Ramaswamy Naicker for an offence punishable under section 117, I.P.C., which